Yuba IRWMP – SSWD-01

Project Short Form¹

Please fill out the following information to the best of your ability/knowledge. Once the project has been received, and a preliminary review completed, the project team will work with you to develop additional information.

PROJECT SPONSOR INFORMATION

Lead Agency/Organization	South Sutter Water District
Name of Primary Contact(s)	Hayden Cornwell
Mailing Address	2464 Pacific Ave, Trowbridge CA 95659
Email Address	hcornwell@southsutterwd.com
Phone (###) ###-####	(530) 656-2242
Project	Yuba County
Partners/Collaborators	
YWA Liaison	JoAnna Lessard

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title	Camp Far West Auxiliary Spillway
Project Total Budget	~ \$21,000,000 - \$24,000,000
(Attach detailed budget, if	
available)	
Budget Breakdown	Planning/Design Budget:
	Implementation Budget:
Project Funding Match, if	
any	
Total Project Funding	
Request	
Project Location (Attach	Camp Far West Reservoir
map if available)	
City/Community	Sheridan / Wheatland
Watershed/subwatershed	Upper Bear
Groundwater Basin	North American Subbasin
Funding Area	SRFA or MC
Project Priority	High/Medium/Low
(Select one)	
Project Type	Conceptual
(highlight in gray <i>all</i> that	Feasibility Study
apply)	Study/Assessment
	Planning
	Engineering/Design
	Permitting
	CEQA/NEPA
	Facility Construction
	Restoration
	Monitoring
	Best Management Practices
	Acquisition
	Demonstration/Pilot Project

¹ Completed Project Short Forms should be sent via email to Keri Rinne at <u>keri.rinne@gmail.com</u>

Please select the *status* of the CEQA/NEPA/Permitting for this project:

CEQA	Exempt - Not Started - Initial Study - EIR – Determination - Unknown if Required
(Select one)	
NEPA	Exempt - Not Started - Environmental Assessment - EIS – Record of Decision - Unknown if Required
(Select one)	
Permitting	Not Required - Not started – Identified – Consultations Complete – Application Submitted – Complete –
(Select one)	Unknown if Required
	·

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Write a narrative <u>briefly</u> describing the project components and/or characteristics (maximum of 300 words).

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) completed a Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) study and concluded that the existing spillway at Camp Far West Dam is inadequate under the required PMF high water event. As the existing (primary) spillway is unable to pass the PMF without overtopping the embankment crest, an auxiliary spillway is proposed to be built adjacent to the existing spillway to provide combined discharge capacity in excess of the PMF outflow rate. The proposed new auxiliary spillway structure would be an ogee-type weir, horizontally concaved, with a crest length of 300 feet. The spillway would be constructed of reinforced concrete and be of similar design to the existing, adjacent spillway structure.

PROJECT RATIONALE/ISSUES STATEMENT

Briefly describe the need for the project and the desired outcomes/deliverables (maximum of 200 words).

This project is identified in the Yuba County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan with emphasis on severe weather disaster mitigation. The modification is needed to assure that the Camp Far West Dam spillway could accommodate the PMF wherein water would flow over the spillway rather than overtop the dam embankment thereby avoiding the risk of dam failure along with sudden and significant downstream flooding. The new spillway would also allow the continued operation of hydroelectricity.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Task based budget
- Map of project location



Map showing the project's position relative to Wheatland, CA.

Camp Far West Spillway Project	ineer's Estimate - 90% Design	May 2021
Cam	Engineer	
	Camp Far West Spillway Project	Camp Far West Spillway Project Engineer's Estimate - 90% Design

GENERAL ITEMS 1 Mobilization/Demobilization 2 Traffic Control Plan & Implementation 3 SWPPP Preparation and PMP Implementation 4 Site Preparation and Site Restoration 4 Temporary Cofferdam and Dewatering 5 Clearing, Grubbing, and Stripping 6 Unclassified Excavation 7 Drill & Blast 8 Foundation Subtotal 9 Spillway Channel Subtotal 10 Spillway Channel Subtotal 11 Spillway Channel Subtotal 12 Spillway Earthork 13 Spillway Earthwork 13 Spillway Earthwork 14 Bridge Structure Subtotal	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 23 185,100 79,300	S		
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 23 185,100 79,300	-		
	1 1 1 23 185,1000 79,300	t	-1	-Ì
	1 1 1 23 185,1000 79,300	S	"	"
	1 1 23 185,100 79,300	SI		
	1 23 185,100 79,300	-	\$ 407,500.00	
	23 185,100 79,300	LS	\$ 594,000.00	\$ 594,000.00
	23 185,100 79,300			\$ 2,968,000.00
	23 185,100 79,300			
	79,300	AC	5,5	- 1
	79,300	-	\$ 18.40	
		5		2
	1,075	5	\$ 200.00	\$ 215,000.00
				\$ 6,031,180.00
		ł		
	616	-		
	1	S	~	. 1
	3,350	2		
ПП	1,352	-	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 1,622,400.00
	1	รา	S 295,000.00	
Π				\$ 6,988,270.00
Γ		- H	- 1	1
	1	S	\$ 3,785,000.00	\$ 3,785,000.00
Bridge Subtotal				\$ 3,785,000.00
Road Improvements		- H		
15 Embankment Fill	33,125	+		
16 Aggregate Base	1,680	-	\$ 110.00	
17 Asphalt	1,264	TON		
Γ	1	SI	\$ 100,000.00	- 1
11				\$ 1,154,857.00
GRAND TOTAL FSTIMATED COST TO CONSTRUCT W/O CONTINGENCY				\$ 20,927,307.00
				\$ 24,066,403.05